



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,027	12/15/2003	Michael Guest	2651-262-1	5583
22442	7590	04/18/2006		
SHERIDAN ROSS PC 1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO 80202				EXAMINER HUSBAND, SARAH E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER 1746

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/737,027	GUEST ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sarah E. Husband	1746

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 20-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 20-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/16/06</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/31/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 20-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 20, 21, and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoenisch (US Patent No. 6,571,805) in view of Kasen (US Patent App. Pub. 2001/0002500) and further in view of Mesheau (US Patent No. 5,026,488) or Todden (US Patent No. 5,620,309).

Hoenisch discloses a fluid tank having a fluid inlet and outlet (Fig. 3, Item 17 or col. 2, ll. 51-55), a main pump with an inlet and outlet, which transfers fluid through the pump outlet into a high-pressure fluid delivery line (Fig. 3, Item 13), a mixing member having at least two fluid inlets and at least one fluid outlet (Fig. 3, Item 19), a selector having at least two fluid inlets and one fluid outlet (Fig. 2, Item 21), at least a first fluid receptacle and a second fluid receptacle, wherein said receptacles are in fluid communication with the at least two fluid inlets of the selector (Fig. 2, Items C1-C4), a first fluid delivery line in communication with the fluid outlet of the selector and a second fluid inlet of the mixing member, a second fluid line in communication with the fluid outlet of the selector and the inlet of the mixing member, a third fluid delivery line in communication with the fluid outlet of the mixing member, and in communication with the inlet of the main pump (Fig. 3). Although some of the fluid lines are arranged differently, the result is the same in that the mixed fluid is transported to the fluid dispersion device and the courts have ruled that the rearrangement of parts is obvious (*In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70). Hoenisch also discloses a fluid dispersion device, adapted to selectively disperse high-pressure fluid received from a high-pressure hose and the device having a trigger (Fig. 1-3, Item 29).

Hoenisch does not disclose a secondary solenoid pump or the pump operated by a switch. Kasen discloses the priming valve (secondary pump) in communication with the tank and with the third fluid delivery line introducing fluid to the main pump, thereby displacing trapped gas (para. 73). Although Kasen does not

specifically state that the means is a pump, the structure disclosed by Kasen provides the same function and would be considered a pump because it moves fluid. Mesheau discloses that the pump is primed by a solenoid valve and controlled by various switches (col. 12, ll. 10-25) and Todden discloses that the priming means can be a pump of the electrical solenoid type (col. 2, ll. 25-30). Kasen also discloses a pump controlled by a switch (para. 44). This switch would control the associated steps to the pumping process and therefore the secondary pump which would be operated prior to the main pump because the pump should be primed before being operated as Kasen discloses. Operating a pump by a switch and priming the pump with a solenoid are both commonly found in the art. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the structure disclosed by Hoenisch with a secondary solenoid pump in order to prime the pump as disclosed by Kasen and Mesheau (or Todden) and also a switch for the benefit of easily controlling the operation of the pump and cleaning apparatus and properly operating the pump.

Claim 23-26 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoenisch, Kasen and Mesheau (or Todden) as applied to claims 1-3, 20, 21 and 27-29 above, and further in view of Williams (US Patent No. 5,221,026).

Hoenisch, Kasen and Mesheau (or Todden) disclose the apparatus as shown above in the 103(a) rejection. Hoenisch, Kasen and Mesheau (or Todden) do not specifically disclose a gas bleed valve, however, Hoenisch does disclose having a vent in order to release air from the pump system. Williams discloses using a gas bleed

valve to get rid of air in the system (col. 5, ll. 16-18). It is also common in the art to use manual or controlled valves and it would have been obvious to modify the structure accordingly. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to modify the structure shown by Hoenisch, Kasen and Mesheau (or Todden) with an air bleed valve in order to remove air from the pump system.

Claims 22 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoenisch, Kasen and Mesheau (or Todden) as applied to claims 1-3, 20, 21 and 27-29 above, and further in view of Field (US Patent No. 6,705,332).

Hoenisch, Kasen and Mesheau (or Todden) disclose the apparatus shown above in the first 103(a) rejection but they do not disclose a check valve associated with the pump. Field discloses the check valve (Fig. 3, Item 76). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the pump system disclosed by Hoenisch, Kasen and Mesheau (or Todden) with a check valve in order to ensure the proper operation of the pump.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah E. Husband whose telephone number is (571) 272-8387. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SEH

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael Barr".

**MICHAEL BARR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**